Stochastic Convergence Rates and Applications of Adaptive Quadrature in Bayesian Inference

Blair Bilodeau^{1,2} (with Alex Stringer^{1,3} and Yanbo Tang^{1,2}) June 29, 2021 World Meeting of the International Society for Bayesian Analysis

¹University of Toronto ²Vector Institute ³Centre for Global Health Research, St. Michael's Hospital

Setup

Setup

Model:
$$\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$$

Setup

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Model:} \ \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \mathsf{Inference:} \ \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array}$

Setup

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Model:} \ \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \mathsf{Inference:} \ \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

Setup

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Model:} \ \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \mathsf{Inference:} \ \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array}$$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc. MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Model:} \ \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \mathsf{Inference:} \ \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array}$$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Model: } \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \text{Inference: } \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array} \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

Quadrature: Numerical integration obtains an *explicit* approximation of $\pi(Y^{(n)})$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Model:} \ \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \text{Inference:} \ \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array} \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

Quadrature: Numerical integration obtains an *explicit* approximation of $\pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)})$

- Can be very fast and stable, but the quadrature rule must adapt to the data.
- Theoretical guarantees for the stochastic setting are limited.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Model: } \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \text{Inference: } \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array} \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

Quadrature: Numerical integration obtains an *explicit* approximation of $\pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)})$

- Can be very fast and stable, but the quadrature rule must adapt to the data.
- Theoretical guarantees for the stochastic setting are limited.

We provide...

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Model: } \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \text{Inference: } \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array} \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

Quadrature: Numerical integration obtains an *explicit* approximation of $\pi(Y^{(n)})$

- Can be very fast and stable, but the quadrature rule must adapt to the data.
- Theoretical guarantees for the stochastic setting are limited.

We provide...

Stochastic convergence rates of multi-point quadrature for Bayesian inference.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Model: } \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \text{Inference: } \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array} \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

Quadrature: Numerical integration obtains an *explicit* approximation of $\pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)})$

- Can be very fast and stable, but the quadrature rule must adapt to the data.
- Theoretical guarantees for the stochastic setting are limited.

We provide...

Stochastic convergence rates of multi-point quadrature for Bayesian inference. A fast procedure to use numerical quadrature for high-dimensional models.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Model: } \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \text{Inference: } \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array} \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

Quadrature: Numerical integration obtains an *explicit* approximation of $\pi(Y^{(n)})$

- Can be very fast and stable, but the quadrature rule must adapt to the data.
- Theoretical guarantees for the stochastic setting are limited.

We provide...

Stochastic convergence rates of multi-point quadrature for Bayesian inference. A fast procedure to use numerical quadrature for high-dimensional models. An efficient and robust implementation of these methods in the aghq package.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Model: } \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} = (\boldsymbol{Y}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{Y}_n) \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p \\ \text{Inference: } \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \end{array} \end{array}$

We want moments, quantiles, marginals, predictive distributions, etc.

MCMC: Draw samples from an *implicit* approximation of $\pi(\theta \mid Y^{(n)})$

- Easy to obtain summaries once you have samples.
- Theoretical guarantees exist.
- Can be (computationally) challenging, even for low-dimensional models.

Quadrature: Numerical integration obtains an *explicit* approximation of $\pi(Y^{(n)})$

- Can be very fast and stable, but the quadrature rule must adapt to the data.
- Theoretical guarantees for the stochastic setting are limited.

We provide...

Stochastic convergence rates of multi-point quadrature for Bayesian inference. A fast procedure to use numerical quadrature for high-dimensional models. An efficient and robust implementation of these methods in the aghq package.

Numerical Quadrature

Approximate an integral using

$$\int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \approx \sum_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) \boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{z}).$$

Numerical Quadrature

Approximate an integral using

$$\int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \approx \sum_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) \boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{z}).$$

Adaptive Quadrature

Recall
$$\pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}) = \int \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$
.
The function $f_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)})$ changes with n through $\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}$.

Have to adapt the numerical quadrature rule to $\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}$.

Numerical Quadrature

Approximate an integral using

$$\int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \approx \sum_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) \boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{z}).$$

Adaptive Quadrature

Recall
$$\pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}) = \int \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$
.
The function $f_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)})$ changes with n through $\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}$.

Have to adapt the numerical quadrature rule to $\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}$.

Naylor and Smith (1982) propose... Mode: $\hat{\theta}_n = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}, \theta)$ Curvature: $\hat{L}_n =$ lower Cholesky of $-\partial_{\theta}^2 \log \pi(\theta, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)})|_{\theta = \widehat{\theta}_n}$

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

Numerical Quadrature

Approximate an integral using

$$\int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \approx \sum_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} f(\boldsymbol{z}) \boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{z}).$$

Adaptive Quadrature

Recall
$$\pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}) = \int \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$
.
The function $f_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)})$ changes with n through $\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}$.

Have to adapt the numerical quadrature rule to $\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}$.

Naylor and Smith (1982) propose... Mode: $\hat{\theta}_n = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \pi(\mathbf{Y}^{(n)}, \theta)$ Curvature: $\hat{L}_n =$ lower Cholesky of $-\partial_{\theta}^2 \log \pi(\theta, \mathbf{Y}^{(n)})|_{\theta = \widehat{\theta}_n}$

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{\boldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{\boldsymbol{L}}_n \boldsymbol{z} + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, \boldsymbol{\omega}(\boldsymbol{z}).$$

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

Theorem (BST21)

Suppose...

- the base quadrature rule exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k-1$
- the model $\pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ satisfies standard regularity conditions.

Then,

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \Big[1 + \mathcal{O}_P \Big(n^{-\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor} \Big) \Big].$$

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

Theorem (BST21)

Suppose...

- the base quadrature rule exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k-1$
- the model $\pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ satisfies standard regularity conditions.

Then,

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \Big[1 + \mathcal{O}_P \Big(n^{-\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor} \Big) \Big].$$

Gauss-Hermite Rule: in 1-dimension, defines $(z_i)_{i \in [k]}$ and $\omega : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k - 1$.

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

Theorem (BST21)

Suppose...

- the base quadrature rule exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k-1$
- the model $\pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ satisfies standard regularity conditions.

Then,

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \Big[1 + \mathcal{O}_P \Big(n^{-\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor} \Big) \Big].$$

Gauss-Hermite Rule: in 1-dimension, defines $(z_i)_{i \in [k]}$ and $\omega : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k - 1$.

The adaptive version of the Gauss-Hermite rule (AGHQ) is implemented in aghq.

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

Theorem (BST21)

Suppose...

- the base quadrature rule exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k-1$
- the model $\pi(\pmb{Y}^{(n)}\mid\pmb{\theta})$ satisfies standard regularity conditions.

Then,

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \Big[1 + \mathcal{O}_P \Big(n^{-\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor} \Big) \Big].$$

Gauss-Hermite Rule: in 1-dimension, defines $(z_i)_{i \in [k]}$ and $\omega : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k - 1$.

The adaptive version of the Gauss-Hermite rule (AGHQ) is implemented in aghq.

In p dimensions...

Product Rule: Exact integration using exponential in p points (grid).

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

Theorem (BST21)

Suppose...

- the base quadrature rule exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k-1$
- the model $\pi(\pmb{Y}^{(n)}\mid\pmb{\theta})$ satisfies standard regularity conditions.

Then,

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \Big[1 + \mathcal{O}_P \Big(n^{-\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor} \Big) \Big].$$

Gauss-Hermite Rule: in 1-dimension, defines $(z_i)_{i \in [k]}$ and $\omega : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k - 1$.

The adaptive version of the Gauss-Hermite rule (AGHQ) is implemented in aghq.

 ${\rm In} \ p \ {\rm dimensions...}$

Product Rule: Exact integration using exponential in p points (grid).

Smolyak Rule: Exact integration using polynomial in p points (sparse grid).

(Heiss and Winschel, 2008)

$$\widetilde{\pi}(oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = |\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n| \sum_{oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{Q}} \pi(\widehat{oldsymbol{L}}_n oldsymbol{z} + \widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}}_n, oldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \, oldsymbol{\omega}(oldsymbol{z}).$$

Theorem (BST21)

Suppose...

- the base quadrature rule exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k-1$
- the model $\pi(\pmb{Y}^{(n)}\mid\pmb{\theta})$ satisfies standard regularity conditions.

Then,

$$\widetilde{\pi}(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) = \pi(\boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \Big[1 + \mathcal{O}_P \Big(n^{-\lfloor \frac{k+2}{3} \rfloor} \Big) \Big].$$

Gauss-Hermite Rule: in 1-dimension, defines $(z_i)_{i \in [k]}$ and $\omega : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ that exactly integrates polynomials of degree $\leq 2k - 1$.

The adaptive version of the Gauss-Hermite rule (AGHQ) is implemented in aghq.

 ${\rm In} \ p \ {\rm dimensions...}$

Product Rule: Exact integration using exponential in p points (grid).

Smolyak Rule: Exact integration using polynomial in p points (sparse grid).

(Heiss and Winschel, 2008)

• Spread of disease among a grid of n = 520 tomato plants.

- Spread of disease among a grid of $n=520 \mbox{ tomato plants}.$
- EpiILMCT: continuous-time, individual-levels models for disease spread.

- Spread of disease among a grid of $n=520 \mbox{ tomato plants}.$
- EpiILMCT: continuous-time, individual-levels models for disease spread.
- The aghq package provides:
 - Optimization
 - Quadrature
 - Summary statistics (expectations, quantiles)
 - Marginal densities

- Spread of disease among a grid of $n=520 \mbox{ tomato plants}.$
- EpiILMCT: continuous-time, individual-levels models for disease spread.
- The aghq package provides:
 - Optimization
 - Quadrature
 - Summary statistics (expectations, quantiles)
 - Marginal densities
- AGHQ is practically indistinguishable from MCMC and runs in milliseconds.



- Spread of disease among a grid of $n=520 \mbox{ tomato plants}.$
- EpiILMCT: continuous-time, individual-levels models for disease spread.
- The aghq package provides:
 - Optimization
 - Quadrature
 - Summary statistics (expectations, quantiles)
 - Marginal densities
- AGHQ is practically indistinguishable from MCMC and runs in milliseconds.



AGHQ (•, —) with $k \in \{3, 5, 7\}$ v.s. MCMC (\blacksquare) for infectivity scale parameter.

Our theoretical convergence rate applies here!

- Spread of disease among a grid of $n=520 \mbox{ tomato plants}.$
- EpiILMCT: continuous-time, individual-levels models for disease spread.
- The aghq package provides:
 - Optimization
 - Quadrature
 - Summary statistics (expectations, quantiles)
 - Marginal densities
- AGHQ is practically indistinguishable from MCMC and runs in milliseconds.



AGHQ (•, —) with $k \in \{3, 5, 7\}$ v.s. MCMC (\blacksquare) for infectivity scale parameter.

Our theoretical convergence rate applies here!

High-Dimensional Example

Even sparse rules are infeasible for large dimension p.

High-Dimensional Example

Even sparse rules are infeasible for large dimension p.

Instead, aghq implements the following procedure:

• Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.

- Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.
- Apply a Laplace approximation (AGHQ with k = 1) to $\int \pi(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{W}$.

- Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.
- Apply a Laplace approximation (AGHQ with k = 1) to $\int \pi(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) d\boldsymbol{W}$.
 - Initially proposed by Tierney and Kadane (1986) to obtain $\pi({m heta} \mid {m Y}^{(n)})$
 - They ignore renormalization; we use another application of AGHQ

High-Dimensional Example

- Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.
- Apply a Laplace approximation (AGHQ with k = 1) to $\int \pi(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) d\boldsymbol{W}$.
 - Initially proposed by Tierney and Kadane (1986) to obtain $\pi(m{ heta} \mid m{Y}^{(n)})$
 - They ignore renormalization; we use another application of AGHQ
- Apply a Gaussian approximation to $\pi(\boldsymbol{W} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}).$

- Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.
- Apply a Laplace approximation (AGHQ with k = 1) to $\int \pi(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) d\boldsymbol{W}$.
 - Initially proposed by Tierney and Kadane (1986) to obtain $\pi({m heta} \mid {m Y}^{(n)})$
 - They ignore renormalization; we use another application of AGHQ
- Apply a Gaussian approximation to $\pi(\boldsymbol{W} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}).$
 - Proposed by Stringer et al. (2021); builds on Rue et al. (2009)

- Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.
- Apply a Laplace approximation (AGHQ with k = 1) to $\int \pi(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) d\boldsymbol{W}$.
 - Initially proposed by Tierney and Kadane (1986) to obtain $\pi({m heta} \mid {m Y}^{(n)})$
 - They ignore renormalization; we use another application of AGHQ
- Apply a Gaussian approximation to $\pi(\boldsymbol{W} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}).$
 - Proposed by Stringer et al. (2021); builds on Rue et al. (2009)





AGHQ posterior mean (a) suitability probabilities and (b) incidence rates.

- Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.
- Apply a Laplace approximation (AGHQ with k = 1) to $\int \pi(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) d\boldsymbol{W}$.
 - Initially proposed by Tierney and Kadane (1986) to obtain $\pi({m heta} \mid {m Y}^{(n)})$
 - They ignore renormalization; we use another application of AGHQ
- Apply a Gaussian approximation to $\pi(\boldsymbol{W} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}).$
 - Proposed by Stringer et al. (2021); builds on Rue et al. (2009)





AGHQ posterior mean (a) suitability probabilities and (b) incidence rates. Open problem to develop theoretical guarantees for this procedure!

- Split the parameter into a low-dimensional heta and high-dimensional W.
- Apply a Laplace approximation (AGHQ with k = 1) to $\int \pi(\boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}) d\boldsymbol{W}$.
 - Initially proposed by Tierney and Kadane (1986) to obtain $\pi({m heta} \mid {m Y}^{(n)})$
 - They ignore renormalization; we use another application of AGHQ
- Apply a Gaussian approximation to $\pi(\boldsymbol{W} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{(n)}).$
 - Proposed by Stringer et al. (2021); builds on Rue et al. (2009)





AGHQ posterior mean (a) suitability probabilities and (b) incidence rates. Open problem to develop theoretical guarantees for this procedure!

High-Dimensional Convergence

High-Dimensional Convergence

• Convergence of our AGHQ renormalization requires a *misspecified* variant of our result; see Kleijn and van der Vaart (2012) for BVM analogue.

High-Dimensional Convergence

- Convergence of our AGHQ renormalization requires a *misspecified* variant of our result; see Kleijn and van der Vaart (2012) for BVM analogue.
- In high dimensions $(p \approx n)$, the posterior may not concentrate and the Gaussian adaptation may be unsuitable. More structure required.

High-Dimensional Convergence

- Convergence of our AGHQ renormalization requires a *misspecified* variant of our result; see Kleijn and van der Vaart (2012) for BVM analogue.
- In high dimensions $(p \approx n)$, the posterior may not concentrate and the Gaussian adaptation may be unsuitable. More structure required.

Previous Work

High-Dimensional Convergence

- Convergence of our AGHQ renormalization requires a *misspecified* variant of our result; see Kleijn and van der Vaart (2012) for BVM analogue.
- In high dimensions $(p \approx n)$, the posterior may not concentrate and the Gaussian adaptation may be unsuitable. More structure required.

Previous Work

Kass et al. (1990) prove a convergence rate for k = 1 (a Laplace approximation). We match their rate, and provide the first explicit proof for p > 1 dimensions.

High-Dimensional Convergence

- Convergence of our AGHQ renormalization requires a *misspecified* variant of our result; see Kleijn and van der Vaart (2012) for BVM analogue.
- In high dimensions $(p \approx n)$, the posterior may not concentrate and the Gaussian adaptation may be unsuitable. More structure required.

Previous Work

Kass et al. (1990) prove a convergence rate for k = 1 (a Laplace approximation). We match their rate, and provide the first explicit proof for p > 1 dimensions.

Jin and Andersson (2020) study deterministic AGHQ in a restricted setting.

- Their integrand cannot vary with *n*, and consequently the result does not apply to likelihoods (or Bayesian inference).
- They require properties to hold almost surely for all *n*, while we use properties that hold in the probabilistic limit.

High-Dimensional Convergence

- Convergence of our AGHQ renormalization requires a *misspecified* variant of our result; see Kleijn and van der Vaart (2012) for BVM analogue.
- In high dimensions $(p \approx n)$, the posterior may not concentrate and the Gaussian adaptation may be unsuitable. More structure required.

Previous Work

Kass et al. (1990) prove a convergence rate for k = 1 (a Laplace approximation). We match their rate, and provide the first explicit proof for p > 1 dimensions.

Jin and Andersson (2020) study deterministic AGHQ in a restricted setting.

- Their integrand cannot vary with *n*, and consequently the result does not apply to likelihoods (or Bayesian inference).
- They require properties to hold almost surely for all *n*, while we use properties that hold in the probabilistic limit.

Summary of Contributions

Summary of Contributions

- The first stochastic convergence rates for approximate Bayesian inference via multi-point adaptive quadrature.
 - Our rate recovers the previous result for Laplace approximations.
 - Our rate applies to usual AGHQ as well as sparse versions.
 - Rate appears tight for some models, remains open to prove lower bounds.

- The first stochastic convergence rates for approximate Bayesian inference via multi-point adaptive quadrature.
 - Our rate recovers the previous result for Laplace approximations.
 - Our rate applies to usual AGHQ as well as sparse versions.
 - Rate appears tight for some models, remains open to prove lower bounds.
- Using AGHQ within approximation methods for high-dimensional models.
 - Applies to a broader class of models than R-INLA.
 - We highlight remaining challenges to prove theory for high-dimensional AGHQ.

- The first stochastic convergence rates for approximate Bayesian inference via multi-point adaptive quadrature.
 - Our rate recovers the previous result for Laplace approximations.
 - Our rate applies to usual AGHQ as well as sparse versions.
 - Rate appears tight for some models, remains open to prove lower bounds.
- Using AGHQ within approximation methods for high-dimensional models.
 - Applies to a broader class of models than R-INLA.
 - We highlight remaining challenges to prove theory for high-dimensional AGHQ.
- A robust implementation of AGHQ in the aghq package, available on CRAN.
 - Package: https://github.com/awstringer1/aghq
 - Paper: https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.06801.
 - Code: https://github.com/awstringer1/aghq-paper-code.
 - Vignette: https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.04468.

- The first stochastic convergence rates for approximate Bayesian inference via multi-point adaptive quadrature.
 - Our rate recovers the previous result for Laplace approximations.
 - Our rate applies to usual AGHQ as well as sparse versions.
 - Rate appears tight for some models, remains open to prove lower bounds.
- Using AGHQ within approximation methods for high-dimensional models.
 - Applies to a broader class of models than R-INLA.
 - We highlight remaining challenges to prove theory for high-dimensional AGHQ.
- A robust implementation of AGHQ in the aghq package, available on CRAN.
 - Package: https://github.com/awstringer1/aghq
 - Paper: https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.06801.
 - Code: https://github.com/awstringer1/aghq-paper-code.
 - Vignette: https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.04468.